

Al & Greg Purdy from Harker's Island attended the tour of the Riverwood operation. They have not begun log salvaging but stated that their operation would not be to the scale of that of Riverwood's. They have targeted Devil's Gut in Martin County for a potential salvaging operation.

Robert & Jonathan White, Lost & Found Lumber Company, have a permit to salvage logs in specified areas in the Perquimans River. They stated that they are practicing an environmental friendly methodology and that they are following the specified requirements as designated on the permit. As stated by the White's, before the CAMA permit was deemed necessary, the White's had retrieved 15 logs. Since the CAMA permit was granted they have only been able to retrieve 12 logs. Their concern was with the turbidity curtain stipulation that was placed on them, but not on Riverwood. According to the White's, considering the small size of their operation it has been very difficult to retrieve the one or two logs that can fit on their boat and include the large turbidity curtain that must make the return trip to the unloading point. Their request was for a modification of their permit so that log retrieval would be feasible for their size operation. They also stated that the moratorium that was stated on their permit, only allowing log retrieval during 3 months of the year due to fish spawning activities, has created a tremendous burden on their success at retrieving logs. They noted that the differences between the permit granted to Lost & Found and the conditions placed on Riverwood were not equitable.

12:00PM The meeting broke for lunch and reconvened at 1:30PM at the Wilmington Regional Office.

1:30PM Meeting was reconvened and minutes from the November 2nd meeting were approved. Donna Moffitt led the discussion of the field trip where it was noted that the field trip was a useful and helpful tool in completing the tasks at hand. Additional comments include:

- research was needed on turbidity and toxins
- the need to handle inconsistencies in permit conditions
- the feasibility of programmatic SEPA document to deal with common issues
- need to get copy of BMPs mentioned by Frank Taylor

The list of issues was then discussed. Donna Moffitt and Kelly Rudd had grouped the issues into categories to help get a better grasp on the issues. The team discussed the list making changes, additions, and deletions where needed (please see revised Issues List for changes). The team then prioritized the groups. Each member of the team was given 3 blue sticky dots to designate their top priorities and was given 3 red sticky dots to designate those grouped issues of less concern. All issues will be addressed if time permits, but considering the task and the short length of the process, it was decided that the team should tackle those issues of most importance to them first. The following list is the results of the prioritization process.

1. Impact on Habitat
2. Permitting
3. SEPA Review
3. Water Quality (tie)
4. Ownership/Compensation
5. Mitigation
6. Public Trust
7. Impacts on Property
8. Local Community Interests

(Please see Issue List for specific areas of concern under each issue group)